## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

LEONARD PUTMAN,	) CASE NO. 1:05 CV 815
Plaintiff,	) JUDGE ANN ALDRICH
V.	)
	) <u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION</u>
GERALD T. MCFAUL,	) <u>AND ORDER</u>
Defendant.	)

On March 28, 2005, plaintiff pro se Leonard Putman, an inmate at the Mansfield Correctional Institution, filed the above-captioned action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Cuyahoga County Sheriff Gerald T. McFaul. The complaint alleges that Putman was not properly credited with jail time awaiting a probation hearing, resulting in his serving an additional 91 days. For the reasons stated below, this action is dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A.

A district court is expressly required to dismiss any civil action filed by a prisoner seeking relief from a governmental officer or entity, as soon as possible after docketing, if the court concludes that the complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or if the plaintiff seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. §1915A; Siller v. Dean, No. 99-5323, 2000 WL 145167, at \*2 (6th Cir. Feb. 1, 2000).

It is well established that section 1983 will not support a

Case: 1:05-cv-00815-AA Doc #: 4 Filed: 05/16/05 2 of 2. PageID #: 18

claim based upon a theory of <u>respondent superior</u> alone. <u>Polk County v.</u>

<u>Dodson</u>, 454 U.S. 312, 325 (1981). Supervisory officials may be deemed

liable for the unconstitutional actions of subordinates only when those

actions are the result of official policies or customs. Monell v.

Dept. of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658 (1978). See also, Bellamy v.

Bradley, 729 F.2d 416, 421 (6th Cir. 1984) (requiring a showing that

the supervisor encouraged the specific misconduct or in some way

directly participated in it).

Even liberally construed, the complaint does not contain

allegations connecting defendant to the asserted violation of

plaintiff's federally protected rights, or reasonably suggesting that

he encouraged, acquiesced in, or established policies resulting in the

violation of such rights.

Accordingly, this action is dismissed under section 1915A.

Further, the court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that

an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Ann Aldrich

ANN ALDRICH

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: May 16, 2005

2